

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/303,057 | 04/30/1999 | KATHERINE GRACE AUGUST | 3376/34 | 7835 | |
| 7590 11/29/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Theodore Naccarella | | | OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N | | |
| Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 Aramark Tower | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1101 Market Street | | | 2655 | | |
| Philadelphia, PA 19107 | | | DATE MAILED: 11/29/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | | + |
|---|--|---|--|--|-----------|---|
| | | 09/303,057 | | AUGUST ET AL | | V |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | ,,,,,,, | |)naganiak | 2655 | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication | Michael N. C | · | | dress | |
| Period fo | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IG DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. period will apply and will e statute, cause the applica | COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE | l. ely filed the mailing date of this co) (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on this action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance with the closed in the closed i | This action is nor lowance except fo | r formal matters, pro | | merits is | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□ | Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the applicated Aa) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as a subject to restriction as a subject to restriction as a subject to by the Example The specification is objected to by the Example Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the case of the oath or declaration is objected to by the case of the oath or declaration is objected to by the case of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be objected to b | and/or election required or b) othe drawing(s) be correction is required | uirement. objected to by the Entertheld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bustee the attached detailed Office action for a | ments have been ments have been priority document ureau (PCT Rule | received. received in Applications ts have been receive 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | Stage | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date | SB/08) 5 |) | ite |)-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 09/303,057

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Blackmer et</u> al (5393236) in view of <u>Kuhn et al (6016471)</u>.

As per claims 1,15, and 23, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches a computer allowing a user to set a pronunciation of a string of characters (Fig. 1 subblock 19) comprising:

"allowing the user to select one or more characters in the string, and retrieving from a database accessible by the computer a plurality of samples.....retrieved samples" as the user selecting string and retrieving the pronunciation (col. 19 lines 1-34);

"allowing the user....samples, storing a first pronunciation record comprising.....selected by the user" as use storing the user's pronunciator (col. 19 line 50 - col. 20 line 10).

Blackmer et al (5393236) does not explicitly teach training the recognition system for pronunciations, however, <u>Kuhn et al (6016471)</u> teaches incorporating the pronunciation aspect into existing systems (abstract, col. 5 lines 10-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 09/303,057

Art Unit: 2655

of ordinary skill in the art of pronunciation recognition to modify the teachings of <u>Blackmer et al</u> (5393236) with training an existing recognition system because it would advantageously provide useful feedback to the user with respect to pronunciation accuracy (<u>Kuhn et al (6016471)</u>, col. 5 lines 25-32).

As per claims 2 and 16, Blackmer et al (5393236) teaches comprising:

"generating a pronunciation....outputting the generated pronunciation" as audible output of the pronunciation (col. 19 lines 40-45)

As per claims 3 and 17, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches allowing the user to select another of the displayed samples after audibly outputting the generated pronunciation as selecting the displayed pronunciation (col. 19 lines 40-45)

As per claims 4 and 18, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches "allowing the user to select a second of the displayed samples.....selected by the user" as user selecting a second record (col. 19 lines 40-45)

As per claims 5 and 19, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches "during a text to speech process.....pronunciation records" as choosing playing the pronunciation record (col. 19 lines 28-39)

As per claims 6-8, and 20-22, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches "associating the first and second pronunciation files with first and second objects,.....recognizing a pronunciation of the string of characters by a user.....associating the first and second pronunciation files with first and second objects.....pronunciation record" as samples stack, personal stack, and comparing both (col. 9 lines 36 - col. 10 line 45)

Application/Control Number: 09/303,057

Art Unit: 2655

As per claim 9, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches allowing the user to identify a part of the character string as a separate syllable......the identified syllable as storing 2 separate syllables (col. 22 lines 20-25)

As per claim 10, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches identifying strings by accents (col. 22 lines 18-31)

As per claim 11, Blackmer et al (5393236) teaches input character string (fig. 10c)

As per claim 12, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches the user to select the character string (fig. 10c)

As per claim 13, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches allowing the user to selected a preferred language (Fig. 8)

As per claim 14, <u>Blackmer et al (5393236)</u> teaches selecting a second language (as choosing from multiple languages, Fig. 9)

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/303,057

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623,

who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno

11/26/05

Michael N. Opsasnick

Examiner

Art Unit 2655